Case 2:07-cv-00324-WHA-WC Document 9 Filed 04/17/2007 Page 1 of 16

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

| BILL LIETZKE | | | * | | ZDD1 APR 17 A ID: 2: |
|---------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 547 SOUTH PRE | EY STR | EET | | | North Title |
| APARTMENT 10 | | | | | 714 |
| MONTGOMERY, A | LABAMA | 36104 | | | |
| (Full name and addr | Plaint vs. | | * | Civil No.: _ (Leave hlan | u) D(Q - 07- |
| BOBBY BRIGHT | | | ART BAYLOR | | |
| 103 NORTH PER | RY STE | REET | 320 NORTH R | IPLEY STRE | ET |
| MONTGOMERY, A | LABAMA | | MONTGOMERY, | ALABAMA | |
| (Full name and addr | | e defendant(s)) dant(s) | ****** | | |
| | | | COMPLAINT | | |
| 1. | Jurisdi | ction in this case i | s based on: | | |
| | ۵ | Diversity (none resident) | of the defendants are r | residents of the s | state where plaintiff is a |
| | 20 | Federal question States Constituti | | federal statute o | or provision of the United |
| | ū | Other (explain) | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Case 2:07-cv-00324-WHA-WC Document 9 Filed 04/17/2007 Page 2 of 16

- 1. On October 23, 2005, by and through its agent police officers, City of Montgomery Defendants procured the false imprisonment of the Plaintiff Bill Lietzke on the false charge that the Plaintiff was flagging down cars, walking in the middle street, trying to get cars to stop, etc.
- 2. All allegations as such City of Montgomery Defendants supplied to the Montgomery Municipal Court were catergorically denied by the Plaintiff, and the Plaintiff entered the plea of NOT GUILTY.
- 3. Said actions by City of Montgomery Defendants are indicative of another case of false imprisonment where the Plaintiff was falsely arrested on another public street by City of Montgomery Defendants on May 10, 1999 and charged with flagging down cars and trying to get cars to stop. The Plaintiff also pleaded NOT GUILTY in that case.
- 4. On October 25, 2005, the Plaintiff was discharged from the Montgomery City Jail, 320 North Ripley Street.
- 5. On January 18, 2005, by and through its agent police officers, City of Montgomery Defendants approached the 500 block of South Perry Street from Grove Street, circled the 500 block of South Perry Street moments after passing the Plaintiff, returned to the 500 block of South Perry Street, stopped in front of 547 South Perry Street in front of the Plaintiff and jumped out of the car. When the Plaintiff crossed South Perry Street, City of Montgomery Defendants crossed South Perry Street following and stalking the Plaintiff. City of Montgomery Defendants pointed another dangerous at the Plaintiff, forced the Plaintiff to halt, and engaged in a flurry of unnecessary interrogatories. City of Montgomery Defendants released the Plaintiff and alleged, "We're not going to charge you with anything."
- 6. On July 13, 2004, by and through its agent police officers, City of Montgomery Defendants backed up in a white Ford Taurus moments after passing the Plaintiff, jumped out of the Taurus and pointed

The facts of this case are:

their loaded pistols at the Plaintiff for absolutely nothing in front of 547 South Perry Street. City of Montgomery Defendants continued to taunt, bully, and terrorize the Plaintiff exclaiming, "Get on your knees, get on your knees." "Put your hands behind your head, put your hands behind your head." "I'll shoot you." City of Montgomery Defendants proceeded to 547 South Perry Street to the Plaintiff's driveway and encountered the Plaintiff's neighbor upstairs on the third floor. City of Montgomery Defendants alleged to the neighbor, "Is he alright?" "Does he live here?"

- 7. On March 4, 2007, by and through its agent police officers,
 City of Montgomery Defendants approached the 300 block of South Perry
 Street and harassed the Plaintiff from the window of the patrol car.
 When the Plaintiff remain silent, City of Montgomery Defendants parked
 in front of Pirst Baptist Church, 305 South Perry Street, jumped out
 of the car, and unlawfully detained the Plaintiff. City of Montgomery
 Defendants alleged, "You got somewhere to go?" "You can't be hanging
 around the church if you ain't going in the church.
- 8. On January 19, 2007, by and through its agent police officers,
 City of Montgomery Defendants approached the 500 block of South Perry
 Street from Grove Street, jumped out of the car & block from 547 South
 Perry Street and beckoned the Plaintiff by hand. When the Plaintiff
 refused, City of Montgomery Defendants followed and stalked the
 US Descrit Court (Rev. 12/2000)
 2
 Plaintiff, grabbed the Plaintiff by the arm, and pulled the Plaintiff

Case 2:07-cv-00324-WHA-WC Document 9 Filed 04/17/2007 Page 4 of 16 to his patrol car. City of Montgomery Defendants handcuffed the Plaintiff for nothing, searched the Plaintiff for nothing, and forced the Plaintiff to lean against his patrol car for nething. Shortly thereafter, City of Montgomery Defendants removed the handcuffs, released the Plaintiff, and alleged, "You're free to go." One court reporter from Shinbaum, Abell, McLeod, and Vann, P. C. known as Patricla witnessed this incident. One Regions Mortgage, Inc. employee known as Sharon witnessed this incident.

9. On January 8, 2007, by and through its agent police officers, City of Montgomery Defendants broke into the Plaintiff's living door, entered the Plaintiff's residence, 547 South Perry Street, Apt. 10 and pointed their loaded pistols at the Plaintiff and shined their flashlights at the Plaintiff inside the Plaintiff's house at 3:10a.m. in the morning without probable cause therefor. For absolutely no reason, City of Montgomery Defendants continued to taunt, bully, and terrorize the Plaintiff exclaiming, "Let me see your hands, let me see your hands," "Get down on the floor, get down on the floor." City of Montgomery Defendants grabbed the Plaintiff inside his bedroom and forced the Plaintiff's head to hit the floor of the Plaintiff's bedroom. City of Montgomery Defendants handcuffed the Plaintiff inside his bedroom while the Plaintiff was forced to lie face down on the Plaintiff's bedroom floor. City of Montgomery Defendants proceeded to order the Plaintiff to state his name, already knowing the Plaintiff's name. City of Montgomery Defendants stated that if the Plaintiff didn't tell them his name City of Montgomery Defendants were going to take the Plaintiff to jail. At this time, another Defendant entered the Plaintiff's house. "Sargeant Bruce" alleged that these Defendants were going to release the Plaintiff. Shortly thereafter, City of Montgomery Defendants removed the handcuffs, release the Plaintiff, and left the Plaintiff's house.

| Case 2:0 | | 0324-WHA-WC Doo lief I want the court to or | cument 9 der is: | Filed 04/17/2007 | Page 5 of 16 |
|----------|---|--|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| | o | Damages in the amoun | ıt of; | | |
| | O | An injunction ordering | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | Other (explain) | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | (orig | ginal signature of plai | ntiff) |
| | | | = | | |
| | | | _ | | |
| | | | (add | ress of plaintiff) | |
| | | | 131111 | - Sa Sa Paramaga | |
| | | | | | |

Case 2:07-cv-00324-WHA-WC Document 9 Filed 04/17/2007 Page 6 of 16 10. On December 13, 2006, by and through its agent police officers, City of Montgomery Defendants sped through the Plaintiff's heighborhood of law firms and mortgage companies in at least seven patrol cars and parked in front of San Souci Apartments, 547 South Perry Street and parked at 529 South Perry Street, office spaces and leasing properties of The Stowers Management, the Plaintiff's landlord. The Plaintiff entered the law firm of Shinbaum, Abell, McLeod, and Vann, P. C., 566 South Perry Street across the street from 547 South Perry Street and informed the secretaries of Shinbaum, Abell, McLeod, and Vann, P. C. that City of Montgomery Defendants are crossing South Perry Street following and stalking the Plaintiff and are now entering these law offices following and stalking the Plaintiff. One of the secretaries known as April witnessed City of Montgomery Defendants enter Shinbaum, Abell, McLeod, and Vann, P. C. grabbing the Plaintiff by the shoulders and arms, handcuffing the Plaintiff and dragging the Plaintiff out of Shinbaum, Abell, McLeod, and Vann, P. C. to their patrol cars parked in front of 547 South Perry Street. City of Montgomery Defendants continued to taunt, bully, and terrorize the Plaintiff placing bandcuffs on the Plaintiff's wrists that injuried the Plaintiff and forcing the Plaintiff's head to hit the trunk of their car. A suspicious black male parked at 529 South Perry Street left this scene. City of Montgomery Defendants placed the Plaintiff inside their car and moments later ordered the Plaintiff to get out of the car. City of Montgomery Defendants removed the handcuffs, released the

11. The Plaintiff avers that City of Montgomery Defendants struck, shoved, kicked, or otherwise touched the Plaintiff and subjected the Plaintiff to RECORD NUMBER unlawful physical contacts and RECORD NUMBER unlawful searches and seizures:

Plaintiff, and alleged, "There is no charge." "Go on, go on."

Case 2:07-cv-00324-WHA-WC Document 9 Filed 04/17/2007 Page 7 of 16

- 2. The facts of this case are: 12. The Plaintiff avers that City of Montgomery Defendants knowingly touched the Plaintiff with the intent to injure, insult, and provoke the Plaintiff.
- 13. The Plaintiff avers that City of Montgomery Defendants
 by physical action intentionally placed the Plaintiff in fear of
 imminent serious physical injury pointing loaded pistols at the
 Plaintiff.
- 14. The Plaintiff avers that City of Montgomery Defendants entered the Plaintiff's residential structure, 547 South Perry Street, Apt. 10 and remained unlawfully therein.
- 15. The Plaintiff avers that City of Montgomery Defendants unlawfully entered and remained in the Plaintiff's residential yard, looking into the Plaintiff's residential structure thereon in complete reckless disregard of the Plaintiff's right of privacy.
- 16. The Plaintiff avers that City of Montgomery Defendants violated the Plaintiff's constitutional rights by subjecting the Plaintiff to harassments and unlawful detentions.
- 17. The Plaintiff avers that City of Montgomery Defendants
 have repeatedly violated the Plaintiff's right to freedom of speech
 and freedom of assembly, in violation of the Plaintiff's First
 Amendment rights of the United States Constitution.
- 18. The Plaintiff avers that City of Montgomery Defendants
 have repeatedly violated the Plaintiff's right against RECORD NUMBER
 US District Count (Rev. 12/2000)
 unlawful searches and seizures, in violation of the Plaintiff's
 Fourth Amendment rights of the United States Constitution,

- 19. The Plaintiff avers that City of Montgomery Defendants negligently falled to provide the skill and care required of its agents under Section 1-47-190. Alabama Code 1975, failed to protect and serve the Plaintiff, and failed again to prevent the injuries received by the Plaintiff as described above, either directly by the officers in their failure to protect the Plaintiff, or indirectly when considering the officers were acting under the scope and control to the City of Montgomery's police department in a respondent superior capacity.
- 20. The Plaintiff avers that City of Montgomery Defendants had a duty to provide the requisite skill and care required by Alabama law, and a duty to protect and serve the Plaintiff through their officers of the law in facilitating the safety of the general public. City of Montgomery Defendants breached that duty to protect and serve the Plaintiff with the requisite skill and care levels over and over, and over again.
- 21. The Plaintiff further avers that the final decision makers namely, Mayor Bobby Bright and police chief Art Baylor, have permitted a custom and policy of harassment against the Plaintiff and other similarly situated in violation of Title 42 U. S. C. Section 1983.

Case 2:07-cv-00324-WHA-WC Document 9 Filed 04/17/2007 Page 9 of 16

| | The relief I want the court to order is: | Stephanie Mohr TAKEN OUT OF the | |
|------|--|----------------------------------|----|
| West | Virginia prisons, and City of | Montgomery Defendants THROWN INT | ro |
| the | West Virginia prisons. | \$2,000,000,000.00 | |

An injunction ordering: Intersections of Grove Street at South Court Street and Grove Street at South McDonough Street are shut down for good, blocked off, and closed out permanently, indefinitely, and IMMEDIATELY to the general public expelling Alabama City of Montgomery agent police officers and expelling African american Negroes who are Other (explain) not supposed to be in this area at all, and who have absolutely no business at all in this district.

The Plaintiff wants the Court to order City of Montgomery police officers are trespassing San Souci Apartments, 547 South Perry Street and City of Montgomery police officers are trespassing 529 South Perry Street, private property of the Plaintiff's landlord, The Stowers Management, 1631 East Fairview Avenue.

(original signature of plaintiff)
547 SOUTH PERRY STREET

AFARTMENT 10

MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA 36104

(address of plaintiff)

Stephanie Mohr, a former Prince George County police officer, was sentenced by the federal court to serve 10 years in an Alderson, West Virginia prison. Stephanie Mohr released her police dog named Valk on Ricardo Mendez, who is a minority from San Salvador, on or about September 21, 1995. The United States Justice Department, Civil Rights Division, prosecuted Stephanie Mohr five years later in 2000, with the assistance from civil rights organizations, and convicted Stephanie Mohr of police brutality. But the civil rights violations, constitutional deprivations, and the menacing practices of these City of Montgomery Defendants from the State of Alabama, and the multiple incidents of City of Montgomery harassments outlined in federal case against City of Montgomery Defendants Bobby Bright and Art Baylor make STEPHANIE MOHR look like a SUNDAY SCHOOL TEACHER.

4. Intersections of Grove Street at South Court Street and Grove Street at South McDonough Street that leads to Regions Mortgage, Inc., 605 South Perry Street, Jim Inscoe Agency Real Estate, 572 South Perry Street, Regions Mortgage, Inc., 555 South Perry Street, Shinbaum, Abell, McCleod, and Vann, P. C., 566 South Perry Street, Holloway and Moxley, LLC, Attorneys at Law, 556 South Perry Street, San Souci Apartments, 547 South Perry Street, Joseph G. Stewart, P. C., 559 South Lawrence Street, James and Pittman, P. C., Attorneys at Law, 611 South Lawrence, Yvette Smiley Smith, CPA, 575 South Lawrence Street are PERMANENTLY, INDEFINITELY, and IMMEDIATELY shut down, blocked off, and closed out to the general public expelling City of Montgomery police officers and expelling African american black folks who are not supposed to be in the area at all and who have absolutely no business at all in this neighborhood, all City of Montgomery signs in this area dismantled IMMEDIATELY, reconstruction and resurfacing works in this area of Regions Mortgage, Inc. put into effect IMMEDIATELY, large dividing walls and large fences constructed and set up in this area at both of these intersections with "NO TRESPASSING, " "PRIVATE PROPERTY, " and "KEEP OUT" signs affixed thereto IMMEDIATELY, another large dividing wall and large fence constructed and set up in this area, additional lighting fixtures installed in this area of Regions Mortgage, Inc. IMMEDIATELY, and "NO TRESPASSING," "PRIVATE PROPERTY," "NO PARKING," "MINIMUM FINE 20, 000 .00" signs installed in this area of Regions Mortgage, Inc. IMMEDIATELY.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT COURT

2001 APR 17 A IG 23

BA THE HE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

BILL LIETZKE,

FLAINTIFF,

*

V.

CITY OF MONTGOMERY, ET AL, *
BOBBY BRIGHT, ART BAYLOR,
State of Alabama police *
officers employed by the
Alabama City of Montgomery *
who are responsible for
all incidents stated in the *
Plaintiff's Complaint, all
others whose true names *
and legal descriptions are
unknown to the Plaintiff, *

FIRST DEGREE CRIMINAL TRESPASSING CONSTITUTIONAL DEPRIVATIONS

CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS COMMON LAW NEGLIGENCE

HARASSMENT

DEFENDANTS. *

- Jurisdiction founded on the existence of a federal question and amount in controversy.
- The action arises out of the Constitution of the United States, Article III, Section II, as hereinafter more fully appears.

9-3-44 (flex.1184)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover wheat and the information contained berein neither replace our suggistment the filling and service of pleudings or other pagests to required by law, except as provided the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REPUBLIC OF THE PORM)

| L (a) PLAINTIFFS BHolder LIETZKE | | | DEFENDANTS CITY OF M BOBBY BRI | ONTGOMERY mor's | 18777 A 10:23 | |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| (c) Ammrey's (Firm Name 547 SOUTH PER | CAMPINUS PLANTIFF CO | 41 | County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.E. PLAINTIFF CASHS ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASHS: USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. | | | |
| 1 (334) 834-8 II. BASIS OF JURISE | | | | | | |
| O t U.S. Government Planning | 3C Federal Quantum (U.S. Government | | (Firt Diversity Cases Only) | PRINCIPAL PARTIES TF DEF 3) [3] incorporated or P of Business in Th | | |
| D2 U.S. Government Defendant | Discounty Uniform Citizenal | op of Parties in large (III) | Citizes of Another Suru | 3 2 3 2 incompanied and of Business In | Principal Place D 1 D 5 Abother State | |
| | | | Citizat or Balgist of a C Foreign Country | 7.) 🗇 3 Feerige Notice | D a D a | |
| IV. NATURE OF SUI | | hi) | LFORFEITUREPENALTY | T BUNNWERTON | | |
| ☐ 110 Incurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 140 Megatistile Incurrence ☐ 140 Megatistile Incurrence ☐ 140 Megatistile Incurrence ☐ 151 Mediture Act ☐ 152 Recurrency of Declaring ☐ 153 Mediture Act ☐ 153 Recurrency of Overpayment ☐ 154 Meanway of Overpayment ☐ 155 Recurrency of Overpayment ☐ 157 Recurrency of Overpayment ☐ 158 Recurrency of Overpayment ☐ 159 Recurrency of Overpayment ☐ 150 Hearway Product Liability ☐ 150 Other Contract ☐ 150 Other Contract ☐ 150 Franchine ☐ 150 Franchine ☐ 150 Franchine ☐ 150 Franchine ☐ 200 Franchine ☐ 200 Franchine ☐ 201 Term to Land | PERSONAL ENGLISY 330 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Listelity 320 Assault, Libet & Standor 230 Federal Employers Listelity 340 Marine 342 Marine Product Listelity 350 Metro Vehicle 355 Metro Vehicle 356 Metro Vehicle 357 Metro Vehicle 357 Metro Vehicle 358 Metro Vehicle 358 Metro Vehicle 358 Metro Vehicle 359 Metro Vehicle 350 Metro Vehic | PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY M2 Personal Injury Med. Malpractics 365 Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Other Personal Departy Demage Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Pressual Proposity Demage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS 310 Meritons in Vacuum Bermaner Habras Corpus; 336 General 335 Death Penalty 340 Manufacture & Other 550 Chill Rights 813 Prison Condition | O 010 Agricultur 1020 Other Fisiol & Desg 1020 Other Fisiol & Desg 1021 Other Related Science of Property 21 USC 881 1030 Linear Lewy 1040 Other Regs 1040 Other Regs 1040 Other Regs 1070 Labor/Mynet Reterring 1070 College Labor Labor 1070 Other Labor Labor 1070 Other Labor Labor 1070 Security Act | BANKHEPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 3 425 Withfrawel 28 USC 157 EROPERTY BEGIVE 3 430 Cupprights 3 430 Patent 3 440 Trademark NOCIAL SECURITY 361 Black Ling (923) 363 Black Ling (923) 364 Sillo Tille XVII 365 RSI (405) FEBRUAL TAX MITTS 367 Taxes (U.S. Plainiff, pr Definitional) 3 67 USS Third Party 28 USC 7609 | OTHER STATUTES 340 State Enapproximation 410 Aminum 410 Aminum 410 Aminum 410 Aminum 410 Connector 400 Depurtation 410 Connector 410 Connector 410 Connector 410 Connector 410 Connector 510 Selective Service 510 Selective Service 510 Selective Service 610 Sele | |
| Ol Original D2 g | Cite the U.S. Ceril Str | | Reinstated or 5 Trans Reopened 1 5 Trans ling (Do not cite juriselletion | liened from \$\sigma 6 \text{ Multidistic from Literature and estatutes unless diversity)} | Appeal to District Judge from Magistrans Judement | |
| VIL REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: | | | 1,000,000,000 | +00 CHECK YES andy | of demanded in complaint: | |
| VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY | E(S) (See immuniose): | дарск | | DOCKET NUMBER | 17 10 10 Ni | |
| DATE 29TH DAY OF MA | ARCH, 2007 | BIONATURE OF ATTOR | DET OF RECORD | | | |
| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY HECEIPT #A | MOUNT | APHLYING IEF | Abde | MAD JUD | GE | |

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

| U.S. Tuesta | ED |
|----------------|----------|
| \$15 XP 545 AP | CT COURT |
| 52256 | YLAND |
| 2001 APR 17 | A W |

| BILL LIETZKE | | | A D 22 |
|--|---|------------------|--|
| Plaintiff | | | THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE |
| V8. | * | Civil Action No. | - 10.201.X |
| CITY OF MONTGOMERY, ET AL, BOBBY BRIGHT, ART BAYLOR | | | |
| Defendant | | | |

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

Plaintiff hereby requests leave, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1915, to proceed "in forma pauperis" (without prepayment of costs). In support of this motion, plaintiff attaches an affidavit.

Signature

BILL LIETZKE

Name (Print or Type)

547 SOUTH PERRY STREET, APT. 1

Address

MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA 36104

City/State/Zip

1 (334) 834-8602

Phone No. Fax No.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT U.S. FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

| | 7003 ADD |
|--|---|
| BILL LIETZKE | Z007 APR 17 A 10: |
| Plaintiff | V 11 10E |
| .Y8. | Civil Action No By |
| CITY OF MONTGOMERY, ET AL, BOBBY BRIGHT, ART BAYLOR | 5 * |
| Defendant | |
| | ***** |
| | MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED RMA PAUPERIS |
| L | , declare that I am the plaintiff in the |
| above-entitled case. I understand that the filing | fee for most civil suits is \$250.00. In support of my motion |
| to proceed without being required to prepay to | e filing fee and other costs, I state that: (1) because of n |
| poverty I am unable to pay such fee and costs | or give security therefor, and (2) I believe I am entitled |
| relief in this proceeding. The nature of my comp | laint is briefly stated as follows (employment discrimination |
| Social Security, civil rights, or other): In | this civil rights case, the |
| Defendants conducted acts o | F RECORD NUMBER unlawful searches |
| and seizures in which the D | efendants knew were excessive |
| In further support of this motion, I answer the | oflowing questions: |
| Are you presently employed? | |
| Yes No No | |
| a. If the answer is "yes," state the amount | of your salary or wages per month, and give the name an |
| address of your employer. List both g | |
| Employer: | 50 1000 |

Monthly Net:

| Day | | e amount | | wages per mo | | , the name and address ved. | o or your employer, |
|-----|---------------|------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| | ployer: | THE | LERNER | SHOPS | | Monthly Gross: | s_2.99 |
| | | | | | | VI CILIDAD PROPERTY CONTROL OF CO | \$ |
| 2. | Have you | | | | | n: (1) settlements, jud | gments, or monetary |
| | | | | | | ocial Security, public | |
| | | | | | | ion or other form of s | |
| | | | | | | , pension or insurance | |
| | or inherita | nces; or (| 7) any other | sources? | | | |
| | ∆ Yes ↓ | J No | | | | | |
| | Received: | | Sou | | | | |
| _ | 648.00 | _ | SUE | PLEMENTA | L SECURI | TY INCOME, S | OCIAL SECURI |
| | | | | | | | |
| _ | | | | | | | |
| _ | | | | | | | |
| ю | you expect | this inco | me to contim | ue: 🛭 Yes 🗆 | No | | |
| hs. | Do you ha | ve any ca | sh on hand, o | or money in sa | vings or chec | king accounts? 🛎 Y | es 🗆 No |
| | If yes, state | total am | ount: \$ | 10.00 | | | |
| | Do you ow | n or have | any interest | in any real estat | e, stocks, bor | nds, notes, automobile | s, or other valuable |
| | | | | | | ing)? 🗆 Yes 🔯 | |
| | | | d description | | | | |
| | Value: | | Desc | mption: | | | |
| | | | | × | | | |
| _ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |